UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC

v.

HTC CORPORATION, et al.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:13-cv-507

CONSOLIDATED LEAD CASE

DISCOVERY ORDER

After review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action, and in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, the Court enters the following Discovery Order:

- 1. **Disclosures.** Pursuant to the Docket Control Order, and without awaiting a discovery request, each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
 - A. the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
 - B the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
 - C. the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
 - D. the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by such person;
 - E. any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
 - F. any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action; and
 - G. any statement of any party to the litigation.
- 2. **Additional Disclosures.** Consistent with the deadlines and requirements of the Docket Control Order, each party shall provide to every other party the following information:
 - A. The disclosures required by the Court's Patent Rules in accordance with the deadlines set forth in said rules and the Court's Docket Control Order:

- B. To the extent that any party pleads a claim for relief or defensive matter other than those addressed in the Patent Rules, and without awaiting a discovery request (yet consistent with the deadlines and requirements of the Docket Control Order), a copy of all documents, data compilations and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to those additionally-pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action. The production of materials may occur on a rolling basis, but shall be made diligently and shall be as complete as possible by any applicable date set forth in the Docket Control Order. By written agreement of all parties, alternative forms of disclosure may be provided in lieu of paper copies. For example, the parties may agree to exchange images of documents electronically or by means of computer disk; or the parties may agree to review and copy disclosure materials at the offices of the attorneys representing the parties instead of requiring each side to furnish paper copies of the disclosure materials; and
- C. In accordance with the deadlines and requirements of the Docket Control Order, a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, making available for inspection and copying (See Local Rule CV-34), the documents or other evidentiary materials on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered; and those documents and authorizations described in Local Rule CV-34.
- 3. **Testifying Experts.** The parties agree to meet and confer regarding limits on and the contents of required disclosures of testifying experts and to submit a proposed Modified Discovery Order regarding this issue once Full Fact Discovery opens pursuant to the Docket Control Order.
- 4. **Discovery Limitations.** The parties agree to meet and confer regarding limits on discovery in this case and to submit a proposed Modified Discovery Order regarding this issue once Full Fact Discovery opens pursuant to the Docket Control Order.
- 5. **Depositions**. The parties agree to meet and confer regarding limits on depositions in this case and to submit a proposed Modified Discovery Order regarding this issue once Full Fact Discovery opens pursuant to the Docket Control Order.
- 6. **Expert Discovery**. A testifying expert's draft reports, notes, outlines, and any other writings leading up to his or her final report(s) in this case are exempt from discovery. In addition, all communications with and all materials generated by a testifying expert with respect to his work on this case are exempt from discovery unless relied upon by the

2

¹ The Patent Rules are Appendix M to the Local Rules which are available on the Court's website at www.txed.uscourts.gov.

expert in forming his or her opinions. The expert must produce his or her final report and all materials on which he or she relied.

7. **Privileged Information.** There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Scheduling Conference. By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. A party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any privileged documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall file with the Court within thirty (30) days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for in camera inspection. If the parties have no disputes concerning privileged documents or information, then the parties shall file a notice so stating by the date provided in the Docket Control Order. Except as necessary to comply with P.R. 3-7, the parties are not required to include on their privilege logs any protected documents that came into existence on or after June 25, 2013.

Inspection or production of documents (including physical objects) shall not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or work product immunity or any other applicable privilege or immunity from discovery if, after the producing party becomes aware of any inadvertent or unintentional disclosure, the producing party designates any such documents as within the attorney-client privilege or work product immunity or any other applicable privilege or immunity and requests return of such documents to the producing party. Upon request by the producing party, the receiving party shall immediately return all copies of such inadvertently produced document(s). Nothing herein shall prevent the receiving party from challenging the propriety of the attorney-client privilege or work product immunity or other applicable privilege or immunity designation by submitting a written challenge to the Court.

- 8. **Pre-trial Disclosures.** By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, each party shall provide to every other party the following disclosures regarding the evidence that the disclosing party intends to present at trial:
 - A. The name and, if not previously provided, the address and telephone number, of each witness, separately identifying those whom the party expects to present at trial and those whom the party may call if the need arises.
 - B. The designation of those witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by means of a deposition and, if not taken stenographically, a transcript of the pertinent portions of the deposition testimony.

C. An appropriate identification of each document or other exhibit, including summaries of other evidence, separately identifying those which the party expects to offer and those which the party may offer if the need arises.

By the date provided in the Docket Control Order, a party may serve and file a list disclosing (1) any objections to the use under Rule 32(a) of a deposition designated by another party under subparagraph "B." above; and (2) any objections, together with the grounds therefor, that may be made to the admissibility of materials identified under subparagraph "C." above. Objections not so disclosed, other than objections under Rules 402 and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, shall be deemed waived unless excused by the Court for good cause shown.

- 9. **Signature.** The disclosures required by this order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made.
- 10. **Notification of the Court.** The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this Order have taken place.
- 11. **Duty to Supplement.** After disclosure is made pursuant to this order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures immediately if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.
- 12. **Requests for Production.** Because documents relevant to any claim or defense are to be produced pursuant to the Patent Rules and paragraphs one and two of this Order, requests for production are unnecessary. However, should a party believe that certain relevant documents have not been produced, that party may request said documents by letter. The Court will entertain a motion to compel documents without the necessity of movant propounding formal requests for production.
- 13. **Discovery Disputes.** Counsel are directed to contact Judge David Folsom for any "hot-line" disputes before contacting the chambers of the undersigned. If Judge Folsom is not available, Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any "hot-line" disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(f). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(f).
- 14. **Discovery Conferences.** Within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for hearing, each party's lead trial counsel and local counsel shall meet and confer in person or by telephone in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention. Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of the meeting. Attendance by proxy is not

permitted. Unless excused by the Court, lead counsel shall attend any discovery hearing set by the Court.

- 15. **No Excuses.** A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue. Parties asserting the defense of qualified immunity may submit a motion to limit disclosure to those materials necessary to decide the issue of qualified immunity.
- 16. **Protective Orders.** The parties shall meet and confer regarding a proposed protective order and shall file a joint proposal or competing proposals by Friday, March 21, 2014. If the parties do not submit a joint proposal or competing proposals by Friday, March 21, 2014, the Court will enter its standard protective order. A copy of the Court's standard protective order is available on the Court's website at www.txed.uscourts.gov entitled "Judge Davis Standard Protective Order." A party may propose to modify the terms of the Protective Order for good cause. The Court authorizes the parties to file any document that is subject to a protective order under seal.
- 17. **Courtesy Paper Copies.** Paper copies will not be accepted by this Court unless specifically requested.
- 18. **Hearing Notebooks.** With the exception of providing notebooks to the appointed technical advisor, hearing notebooks are no longer required or requested. However, the Court may request hearing notebooks in specific instances.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 24th day of March, 2014.

LEONARD DAVIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE